Your Hospital's Logo Here

BIOETHICS CONSULTATION GUIDELINES

INTAKE WORKSHEET

Ref	terred by:	Date:
Inta	ake by:	Date:
A.	Patient's Chart Number:	<u> </u>
В.	Age & Sex:	
	Physician / Specialists:	
C.	Medical Problems List	Treatment
2	2.	
	3 4	Q
į	5. Performance Status	0
D.	Prognosis:	, , , ,
E.	Patient's Attitudes & Competencies:	CO
	× 0	©
F.	Patient's Occupation:	
G.	Patient's Religion:	
Н.	Patient's Values & Beliefs:	
	Advanced Directives (code status):	
	Durable Power of Attorney:	
I.	Family's Situation:	
	Dynamics:	
	Decision Maker:	
	Power of Attorney / Guardian:	
J.	Family's Values & Beliefs:	

The following 7 steps (Pg 2 of this form) are intended to guide the process of arriving at a recommendation as the outcome of a consult. While not comprehensive, these steps identify some essential considerations necessary for making a recommendation on behalf of the Ethics Committee. All of the steps may be delegated to different members of the consultation subcommittee / team. However, each step should be considered explicitly and discussed with all members of the consultation team or Ethics Committee before a final recommendation is made. Each step may need to be considered more than once, and earlier steps may need to be revisited in light of responses to later steps.

ANALYSIS WORKSHEET D	ate:
STEP 1: Identify the question(s)	
Why was the consult called?	
On what criteria does a recommendation depend?	
STEP 2: Identify those involved / impacted by the situation	<u> </u>
Who is affected by a particular decision to act?	
Who is MOST affected by a particular decision?	
STEP 3: <u>Identify the relevant facts</u>	
Consider [a] Patient diagnosis & prognosis; [b] Oversight regulation Codes of ethics; [d] Institutional policies & values; and [e] Patient pr	
STEP 4: Identify relevant moral principles	0
Consider [a] Human dignity; [b] the common good; [c] justice; [informed consent; [g] medical futility, [h] etc.	d] beneficence; [e] respect for autonomy; [f]
	7,0
STEP 5: Consider alternate options	
Are there other options than treat or do not treat?	
STEP 6: Arrive at & justify recommendation	
[a] Take turns expressing individual points of view; [b] summarize points of conflict until a decision that everyone can live with is read recommendation and/or may be involved in the procedure an opconscience; and [e] consider whether the directly intended effect recommendation are consistent with relevant moral norms.	shed; [d] provide those who cannot support the oportunity to opt out for reasons of individual
STEP 7: Review & follow-up	
Determine whether [a] all parties involved are comfortable with the conscience were found to be acceptable; [b] individuals with relectionsent was obtained prior to implementation; [d] appropriate steps issue requires an institutional response; [f] learning resulted from the documented & reported.	vant experience were consulted; [c] informed s were taken to act in a timely manner; [e] this
DOCUMENTED BV:	DATE: